

binding processes appear to be involved: (1) crusts of organic origin, commonly concentrically layered deposits of red algae, Foraminifera, and Bryozoa, and (2) thick, laminated, lithified, micrite crusts with a smooth to nodular surface, preferentially accreted upward and not clearly associated with any calcareous taxa. The organic crusts commonly occur just under the reef surface, whereas the hard concretionary crusts are common deeper within the reef, lining the numerous channels and cavities that permeate the framework.

Many of the reef pores (both the primary inter- and intra-framework pores and also cavities produced secondarily by boring organisms such as *Cliona*) are filled with lithified sand, micrite, or acicular crystalline cement. Just beneath the reef surface is extensive lithification of poorly sorted micritic sediments between and within the framework. Sand trapped in inter-framework cavities is consolidated by drusy cements. In many places, the lithified material is bored by *Cliona* and the resulting cavities are refilled and relithified, indicating that these processes occur at a rapid rate.

Similar features are recognized in Pleistocene reefs on the north coast of Jamaica. This observation suggests that the lithification processes are common in time as well as in space.

LÉO F. LAPORTE, Dept. Geological Sciences, Brown Univ., Providence, R.I.

PALEOZOIC CARBONATE FACIES OF CENTRAL APPALACHIAN SHELF

The central Appalachian shelf, which received sediments discontinuously throughout the Paleozoic, is bounded on the north by the Precambrian crystalline rocks of the Adirondacks, on the west by the Cincinnati arch and on the east by geosynclinal basins. Major carbonate sequences were deposited during the Late Cambrian-Early Ordovician (Conococheague, Beekmantown), Middle Ordovician (Black River, Trenton), Middle Silurian (Lockport), Late Silurian-Early Devonian (Tonoloway, Keyser, Helderberg), and Middle Devonian (Onondaga). Minor, but equally interesting, carbonate units were deposited during the Middle Devonian (several thin limestones within the Hamilton) and Late Devonian (Tully).

Recent environmental stratigraphic studies of these carbonate rocks show a great variety of lithofacies and biofacies. Despite this great diversity, four major facies complexes can be characterized.

Tidal flat deposits, consisting of laminated, dolomitic, mud-cracked, intraclastic rocks with low faunal diversity and algal structures, are well developed in the older carbonate units (Conococheague, Beekmantown, Black River, upper Lockport, Tonoloway, Keyser, and lower Helderberg). These rocks were formed in supratidal and intertidal environments.

Shallow subtidal deposits, consisting of biomicrite (generally well burrowed), biosparite (in many places current stratified), and some oösparite with relatively diverse and abundant biotas, are particularly common in the middle part of the Cambrian-Devonian carbonate sequence (upper Black River, Trenton, middle Lockport, Keyser, Helderberg, and Onondaga). These rocks record restricted- to open-marine environments above, or slightly below, effective wave base.

Deeper subtidal deposits, consisting of well-burrowed impure biomicrite with less abundant and less diverse biotas, are more typical of the younger part of this interval (Onondaga, Portland Point, and Tully). These

strata formed in open-marine environments below effective wave base.

Carbonate buildups are found throughout the Cambrian-Devonian either as small algal mounds (Conococheague, Beekmantown, Lockport), tabulate or stromatoporoid biostromes (Black River, Lockport, Keyser, Helderberg, Tully), or as bioherms dominated by rugose and tabulate corals (middle Lockport, Helderberg, and lower Onondaga). Fossil diversity and abundance are greatest within the biostromes and bioherms.

As might be expected, the temporal distribution of these broad facies complexes parallels the Paleozoic tectonic history of the central Appalachians. Thus, during times of tectonic stability carbonate tidal-flat and shallow subtidal deposits were abundant. As tectonism increased in the eastern geosynclinal terranes, the near-shore areas of these environments were flooded by land-derived terrigenous clastics. Greater subsidence of the shelf areas also seems to have been general, with the result that deeper water carbonate became more common. Carbonate buildups seem to occur in a wider variety of environmental situations. Although related to the general tectonic regime, they also were dependent on good marine circulation and local paleogeography.

GRAHAM LEA, P.O. Box 1024, Calgary, Alta.

MARKOV FORECASTING TECHNIQUES IN EXPLORATION

When preceding events influence succeeding events, a certain probability can be calculated for the process, which is said to possess the Markov property. An increasing number of geologic processes have been described that demonstrate this property, and the behavior of exploration geologists frequently is no exception. Markov methods allow a reasonably limited number of exploration factors to be considered together on a probability basis. A particular advantage is that factors having different dimensions, such as barrels of oil, the density of seismic coverage, or the cost of drilling, can be evaluated together for forecasting purposes.

Small Markov studies can be undertaken without a computer, but for larger models it is both simple and desirable to use a computer. A forecasting model should include consideration of environmental conditions (the historical events), the alternative choices (the possible outcomes from which the optimum forecast may be derived), and the weight attached to each factor.

Forecasts can be made for two general areas. Within a company, the exploration environment conducive to success is worthy of investigation, as is the efficiency of the exploration process. From a competitive viewpoint, the behavior of other companies is of interest as advantage can be taken of any known Markov tendencies in their exploration policies. Geologists should use Markov methods to reduce the uncertainty of decision making to finite probability. This will result in an increased success ratio.

JAMES O. LEWIS, 10919 Wickwild, Houston, Tex.

PRACTICAL COMPUTER USAGE FOR SUBSURFACE GEOLOGISTS

Techniques for proper utilization of the computer need to be developed by experienced subsurface geologists thoroughly familiar with the computer programs used in solving exploration problems. Output from the computer is not the end result, but is the beginning point for the exploration geologist. The "geology" of